B

B

14(C)(a)
28.2.

26.2.14.

File No. 260

1904.

Collection No.

(74)P

Inspore Residency

Pros. Serial Nos. 1 — 21

Subject.

Succession certificate to K. Seetharama Rao & K. Srinivasa Rao, sons of the late K. Ramachandra Rao.

Previous References.

Later References.

S. 97. (Blue).

DCFP—6788&P—(G-1685)—10-3-30—1,00,000.

E. P.B.—5-1004—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 260/1904

~~Letter No.~~ Petition dated 7th July 1904

from Krishnavenamah, widow of the late K. Ramachendra Row, a retired Sub Division Officer

SUBJECT.

Applies for a Succession Certificate Empowering the petitioner to collect certain debts due to her deceased husband and for refund of Stamp duty paid in the Dist. Court, C & M Stn. on a similar Certificate

The Effects in respect of which the Certificate is applied for being in British India, it has to be granted by the Resident under Sec. 17 of the Succession Certificate Act, VII of 1889, and the one granted by the District Court of the C & M Stn. of Bangalore is useless, so far as those effects are concerned.

post up

2. The right of the petitioner to the Certificate applied for appears to have been already determined by the District Court of the C & M Stn. in Mis. Application No. 225 of 1903 in which a Certificate was granted to the petitioner. If approved, the records of that case may be called for and the certificate applied for

sd 1/8

applied for may be granted on the strength of the District Court Certificate, without issuing notice of the application from this office, under Sec. 7 of the Act, VII of 1889. Draft letter is submitted below for approval.

3. As the question regards the application for refund of the value of Court fee stamps, for Rs. 320, used for the certificate granted by the District Court (vide para 4 of the petition) - Foreign Department Notification* No. 2493 dated 7th June 1899 contains the Rules on the subject. Under the proviso to Rule 1 (b) the Resident may, in special cases, allow refunds when application is made within one year from the date of purchase of the stamps, or in the case of impressed Court-fee stamps (as in the present case), within one year from the date on which the stamps were spoiled or rendered useless.

*printed on pp. 213 & 214 of Macpherson's British Enactments in force in Native States in S. India - Madras Empire printing

4. In this case the stamps were purchased on the 25th November 1903 and the certificate issued from the District Court on the 22nd Decr. 1903.

Notes—continued.

5. If the effects in question, which consist of fixed deposit & shares in the Commercial Bank of India (Ltd), are in British India, it is not clear why an application for a Certificate in respect of them was made to and granted by the District Court of the C & M Stn, when, under Section 17 of the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, the Resident alone has the authority to grant a Certificate in a case like the present one. If approved, the District Judge may be asked to state under what circumstances he granted the certificate in question and whether he sees any objection to the refund applied for being allowed. Draft letter submitted below

20-7-04

S.2

Issued No. 3498 dated 23-7-04



E. P. B.—5-1904.—4,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 260 of 1904

Letter No. 1222 dated 29th July 1904
from the District Judge, C & M Stn

SUBJECT.

Re the Succession Certificate applied for by Krishnavenamah, widow of the late Ramachendra Rau.

Please see sls 1 & 2 and office notes appended thereto.

2 In her petition (sl 1) Krishnavenamah applies:

Firstly, for the cancellation of a Succession certificate obtained from the Court of the District Judge of the Station in respect of certain debts, as the bulk of the said debts is recoverable in Br. India and the Certificate granted by the local District Court has no force there, (vide para 4 of sl 1)

sl 1/B

Secondly, for the refund of Rs. 320/- being the Court fee paid on the said Certificate so far as regards the debts due in Br. India, (vide para 4 of sl 1) and

Thirdly, for a fresh Succession Certificate under Act VII of 1889 empowering the petitioner to collect debts amounting to Rs. 16700/- due in Br. India & in the C & M Stn (vide paras 7 & 8 of sl.

put up

3 The questions raised in the petition require the exercise of the powers of the Resident in three different capacities, viz:-

(1st) as High Court for the C & M Stns [vide sec. 19 (3) of Act VII of 1889]

(2nd) as the Chief Controlling Revenue authority, and,

(3rd) as the British representative accredited to the Mysore State (vide Sec. 17 of the Act), so far as regards the debts recoverable in British India and as the High Court of the C & M Stns, so far as regards the debts recoverable in the Stations.

It seems desirable that each of the above questions should be made the subject of a separate petition duly stamped & presented and should be separately dealt with.

4 If this course is approved, the 3rd question may be disposed of on the present application. The debts for the recovery of which the Resident is moved to grant a certificate, consist of (1) a fixed deposit and value of shares in the Commercial Bank of India (Ltd.), and in a certain Bank in Tinnevelly in Madras [items 1 to 14 in the Schedule to P.I. I, and (2) Shares in the Bangalore Bank (Ltd.) [item No. 15 in the said Schedule].

Notes—continued.

5 A certificate in respect of the former debts (i.e. debts recoverable in Br: India) can be issued at once under Sec. 17 of Act VII of 1889, on the strength of the proceedings held by the District Judge of the C & M Stn in his Application No 225, in which the right of the petitioner to the certificate has already been determined after due Enquiry under the said Act.

vide Dist. Judge's order dy 18.11.03 at slip A

6 As regards the latter debts recoverable in the C & M Stn of Bangalore, a certificate may also, it seems be granted by the Resident in the exercise of his powers as a High Court. [A certificate for the recovery of these debts may also be granted by the District Judge of the Station who has jurisdiction over the place].

7 The other two questions regarding the cancellation of the District Judge's Certificate and the refund of the Court fee paid thereon may be left to be dealt with separately, as suggested above.

8 If these suggestions are approved, the application under disposal may

R. P. B.—3-1904.—3,000.

may be posted to Saturday the 20th instant (when the Resident holds Court) and the petitioner's Advocate may be asked to state if he has any objections thereto.

Submitted for orders.

H.C.

16.8.04. Post the case for Saturday certainly.

The first point is the cancellation of the early certificate. As to this I should have thought that the Board applied for revocation to the Court that granted it (rule 18(4)). My Court could not apparently grant a new certificate (section 20) so long as a previous grant was (wholly or partially) in force. But will the position as to the stamp be clear until judicial action has been taken with regard to the 1st certificate.

Asst.

17.8.04.

B. P. B.—6-1904.—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 260 of 1904

S. 5

Letter No. 1694 dated 21st September 1904
from the District Judge Cntg. Stn, Bangalore

SUBJECT.

requesting the return of records in his Case No 225 of 1903 on his file.

A draft letter returning the records in his applns Nos 225 and 263 of 1903 to the District Judge Cntg. Station is submitted for approval.

26.9.04

26/9

S.6 Issued No. 4843 dated 28-9-04



R. P. B.—6-1904.—1,000.

4

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 260 of 1904

In Hribl the Resident's order
~~Letter No.~~ dated 14th October 1904
from

SUBJECT.

In the matter of an application of one Kirshnovenamma for a Certificate of succession under Section 17 of Act VII of 1889

A draft endorsement to the District Judge forwarding a Copy of the above order* for necessary action and returning the record of his Court received with his letter No 1807 dated 5th October 1904 (Bl 7) is submitted for approval.

* Slip A

BL 29.10.04 H.L. 29/10

Issued No 5285 dated 2-XI-04.

S.B.



10

E. P. P.—C-1204.—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 260 of 1904

8 9

Letter No. 2216 dated 5th December 1904
from the District Judge, C. & M. Stns

SUBJECT.

Re security to be furnished by one Krishnavenamma, an applicant for a Succession Certificate under Sec. 17 of Act VII of 1889

at slip A

Under the Resident's order dated 14th October 1904 the petitioner is to be granted a Succession Certificate on her furnishing a Security bond with one surety for Rs. 16000, to the satisfaction of the District Judge, who now reports that she is unable to produce a surety residing in the C & M Stns. Mr. Lawrance, the petitioner's Advocate, states that he will shortly move the Resident by petition to accept a surety living in the City, who may be approved by the Dy Commissioner of Bangalore. Further application in this behalf may be awaited

H.L
12-12-04

R. Lawrance
D. R.



R. P. B.—6-1904.—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 260 of 1904

sl 10

Petition
~~Letter No.~~ dated 19th December 1904

from Mr J. C. S. Lawrance, Advocate, pr Krishnaveni amma—, widow of the deceased Ramachandra Rao

SUBJECT.

Re grant of Succession Certificate in regard to the Estate of the late Ramachandra Rao, deceased.

Please see page 10 of the office note— One R. Venkata Subba Pandith residing in the Fort- Bangalore city, is named as Surety for the widow.

A draft letter to the Darbar with a draft security bond is submitted for approval.

21-12-04 22/12

22-12-04

sl. 11 Issued No. 6552 dated 26-12-04.



L. P.B.—9-1904.—2,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 260 of 1904

Sl 14

Application—
~~Letter No.~~ dated 25th April 1905

from Mr J. C. L. Lawrence, Advocate for Krishnavenamma Pet.

SUBJECT.

Application of Krishnavenamma, widow of the late Ramachendra Row for a Succession Certificate

Please see Serials 8 and 13 of file No 260 of 1904.

As required in Sl. 13, the petitioner submits the name of Mr. Henry Abraham of No. 1 South Parade, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, as her surety.

2. The amount for which surety is required is prescribed Rs 16,000/- If approved, the form of the security bond may be sent to the Collector of the Cuttack Stn with the request that, if he is satisfied with the Surety, the bond may be caused to be executed by the petitioner and her surety on a British India General Stamp paper of Rs 5 and the same forwarded to this office.

P.T.O.

14

Draft letter is submitted below for approval –

H.L
29.4-05

Issued No. 2587 & 9-5-05

S.B

I. P.B.—9-1904.—2,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 260 of 1904

S 18

Letter No. 1857 *dated* 4th August 1905

from the Collector Civil and Military Station. Bangalore

SUBJECT.

returning the Security bond executed by petitioner Krishnaveramma and her surety duly attested by a Special Magistrate.

For the Resident.

Sl 4

Please see the Hon'ble the Resident's order dated 14th October 1904 ordering the grant of the Certificate applied for on the petitioner giving a bond with a surety for Rs 16000/- and also Sls 14, 15, 16 & 17.

2. The Security bond now given may be filed and a certificate issued to the petitioner. Draft certificate is submitted below for approval.

3. The amount in respect of which a certificate was applied for was at first stated in the

Sl 1. application (para 8) to be Rs 16,700, and Rs 334 on account of stamp duty at 2% has been deposited in office. The petitioner's advocate Mr. Lawrence, has since altered the amount to Rs 16,300/- the Stamp duty on which

7

comes to Rs 326. The excess of Rs 8 will, if approved, be returned to the petitioner under section 14(3) of the Succession Certificate Act, 1889. post up.

9.8.05 M 4
11/8

Show down the statements as to the market value of the stock in the Banks.

M 14/8

N.W.
12/8.

5. The market value of the stock in a Bank on the day on which the inclusion thereof in the certificate is applied for, may be ascertained by a reference to the Bank concerned. In the present case no such reference was made to the two Banks in question viz. the Commercial Bank of India (Ltd) and the Tinnevelly Sarangapani Sugar Mill Co. (Ltd), but the market value, as given in the Schedule appended to the petition, which is supported by a declaration by the petitioner at the end, as to the truth of sd.

19

R. P. E.—9-1904.—2,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 260 of 1904

20

Letter No. 1687 dated 23rd September 1905
from the District Judge Civil Station Bangalore

SUBJECT.
requesting the return of records in civil suit Cases No 225 and 263 of 1903 on his file

The above records were received for reference in connection with the application for Succession Certificate, from Srinivasarao. The application has been disposed of -

The records ~~as sought for~~ may now be returned.

Draft letter to the District Judge is submitted for approval

OS
26.9.05—M
26/9

26/9

S. 21.

Issued No. 5628 Dated 30-9-05



the City of Bangalore has been appointed their Guardian by the District Judge of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.

3. That the said deceased left the debts and securities enumerated in the Schedule here under written

4. That your petitioner owing to a mistake made application to the Court of the District Judge of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore for a Certificate under the Succession Certificate Act VII of 1889 to realize the said debts and securities and obtained the same but though she paid the large sum of Rs. 32-4/- as Court Fees Stamp duty the said Certificate is valueless except as to the last security item 15 of the Schedule being two shares in the Capital Stock of the Bangalore Bank Limited.

all the remainder of the property of the deceased being in British India. She therefore herewith presents the said Certificate for cancellation and prays that she may be granted a refund Certificate for the duty paid minus Rs 4/ which is the duty payable on the said item Rs. 15/. under the spirit of the provisions of Cap: III A of the Court Fees Act VII of 1870.

Rs-324
4
Bee Rs 320

5. To the best of your petitioner's belief there is no impediment under Sec: 1 Sub-section 4 of the Succession Certificate Act VII of 1889 or under any other provision of the said Act or any other Law to the grant of the Certificate hereby prayed for to the validity thereof if granted by this Hon'ble Court.

6. It is not intended to serve

serve Notice of this petition on any person.

7. Your petitioner therefore prays that this here petition may be received and that a Certificate may be granted to her under the Succession Certificate Act VII of 1889 empowering her to Collect the said debts and to receive the Interest and dividends on, and to negotiate and transfer the said Securities specified in the Schedule here under written or any of them and for such other relief as to this Honorable Court may seem fit & proper

Schedule

Debts.

Serial Numbers	Name of Debtor.	Amount of the Debt to be realized.			Description & date of instrument if any by which the debt is secured
1	The Commercial Bank of India (Limited)	8000	-	-	Fixed Deposit receipt of the Bank No 19740

Securities.

Serial number	Description — Distinguishing number or Letter of Security.	Name title or claim of Security	Amount or par value of Security	Market value of Security on date of application for Certificate
1	Nos 1220- 1221-1222	One hundred and sixty shares in the Cap: Stock of the Commercial Bank of India (Limited)	300 - -	150 - -
2	4361-4362- 4363-4364 4365-4366 4367-4368 4369-4370		1000 - -	500 - -
3	4996-4997 4998-4999 5000		500 - -	250 - -
4	1075-1076		200 - -	100 - -
5	2876		100 - -	50 - -
6	1631-1632 1633-1634 1635		500 - -	250 - -
7	3263-3264 3265-3266		400 - -	200 - -
8	2315-2316 2317-2318 2319-2320 2321-2322 2323-2324		1000 - -	500 - -
9	1168-1169 1170-1171 1172		500 - -	250 - -
		Carried over		2250 - -

8. net value of this said
Est[illegible] Rupees sixteen
thousa[illegible] ~~seven~~ three Pd hundred 27/7 105
only (~~Rs.~~ 16300 Pd.)

Bangalore the 7th July 1904.

John Mansfield
Advocate for Petr.

ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡವರು

I Krishnaveniamah the petitioner do hereby declare that what is stated in the above petition is true to my knowledge except as to matters stated on information and as touch ~~in~~ matters ~~prosecution~~ I believe them to be true.

ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡವರು



Sl. 1/A

IN THE COURT OF the Honorable the British Resident in Mysore.

Misc. Petn. No. _____ of _____

In the matter of the Lands Chattels & Effects of the late K. Ramachendra Row of Bangalore

Know all Men by these present that I Krishnavé-: namah the petitioner herein and S. Venkatachella Iyengar Esq. B.A., B.L. do hereby authorize and appoint JOHN C. S. LAWRANCE, B.A., LL.B. ESQ., Gentleman an Advocate to be my true and lawful Attorney to appear in the above named Court and represent me as Petr in the above named petition and file all petitions, exhibits or other documents whatsoever in connection therewith, and also to apply for and receive from the said Court all such documents or copies of documents as he may deem necessary, and to apply for and issue all summonses, commissions or other writs or processes as may be needed or he may deem requisite, and to apply for and issue attachment and execution under any decree or other order that may be made in the said petition and to prosecute or defend any proceedings that may arise thereout, and to apply for and receive payment of all or any sums of money that may be decreed or awarded either as principal money, for costs, or otherwise, and to appear in and before the Judge or Judges or presiding officer of the said Court and to plead on my behalf in any matter touching or concerning the said matter, suit or application, or any proceeding in connection therewith, from time to time to set and appoint a substitute or substitutes, and with power to revoke such appointment or appointments, and generally to appear plead and act in all respects whether herein specified or not as may to my said Attorney or his substitutes seem proper or expedient. And I hereby ratify and confirm all and singular that my said Attorney or his substitute or substitutes shall lawfully do or procure to be done in and respecting the premises acknowledging the same to be done as fully and effectually as if I were personally present.

In Witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand this 25th day of April in the Christian year 1904.

Accepted
John Lawrance
Signed before me
S. Venkatachala Iyengar
adv

ಕೃಷ್ಣಮ್ಮ

JOHN C. S. LAWRANCE,
ADVOCATE.



Before the ~~Registrar of the~~ Honourable
~~IN THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE.~~
the British Resident in Mysore

Jurisdiction

O. J. — ~~Case~~ No. 260 of 1904.

In the matter of the Estate of the late Ramachendrarao

~~Peruyo~~

Petitioner - Krishnavanamma

To

THE REGISTRAR OF THIS

HONORABLE COURT.

Sir,

Please direct ~~that~~ to return

~~copies~~ returned of the undermentioned papers in this matter be ~~furnished to~~,

Yours faithfully,

2/9/04

Petitioner's ~~Advocate~~.

No.	Nature of paper a copy of which is required.
	Prays for return of the Certificate granted by the District Judge of the C & M Station in Mos: No 225 of 1903 which has been filed along with the petition filed, as the same has to be produced to the District Judge's court for Cancellation - 2/9/04

Certificate received

5/9/04.

B Seshagiri Rao
Clerk of Jun J.C.
5/9/04 Laurance

R. P. B.—2-04.—500.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 2

File No.

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

No 3498 d/ 23d July 1904.

From

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

To

The District Judge
of the C & M Stn
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to state ~~that~~ an application has been received from one Krishnaswamiah, petitioner in His. Appln No. 225 of 1903 on the file of your Court praying for the refund of Rs. 32 ~~of his~~ value of ~~the~~ Court fee Stamps recovered on account of

of the Succession Certificate granted in the above case. The application is made on the ground that so far as regards the effects in Br. India, specified in the said Certificate, the grant has become useless, inasmuch as, under Section 17 of the Indian Succession Certificate Act, 1889, the Resident alone has the power to grant such certificates, and an application has been made to him for a certificate under

that

No. 1222 Ins 2 Cases.

THE DISTRICT COURT,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
Bangalore, 29th July 1904.

FROM Rao Bahadur P. S. Krishnarao Esq. B.A., B.L.,
~~THE~~ DISTRICT JUDGE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

DISTRICT'S OFFICE, BANGALORE.
Receipt No. 3680
Date of Receipt 2.8.04
File No. 260/04

TO
R. C. H. Moss King, Esquire, I.C.S.,
First Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 3498 dated the 23rd July 1904. I have the honor to state that the petitioner Krishnaveni Ammal did apply to this Court for a certificate of succession under Act VII of 1889 in respect of debts and securities valued at Rs 16,200, and that she paid a court fee of Rs 324 thereon, as required by law. The debts and securities consisted of Bangalore Bank Shares valued at Rs 200 and certain shares and deposits in the Commercial and Land Mortgage Bank (limited) valued at Rs 16,000. At

the

the time the application was filed there was a branch of the Commercial Bank in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, managed by Mr. Bernard Hawkins as its agent. This Court therefore had jurisdiction over the said bank at that time and granted the certificate asked for by the petitioner. Subsequent to the grant of the certificate the ~~Branch~~ Branch of the Bank in the Civil and Military Station appears to have been closed and the petitioner Krishnavenammal probably finds herself unable now to realise the said shares and deposits on the strength of the certificate granted by this Court. If this assumption be correct, the grant made by this Court has become useless so far as the 16,000 Rs are concerned. But it appears to me that there is no law or rule under which a refund of 320 Rs, the amount of Court fee paid on the said shares and deposits, can be ordered. I find no provision either in the Court Fees Act VII of 1870 or in the Succession Certificate

tificate Act vii of 1889. Rule 1 (a) of the rules published under Foreign Department Notification No 2493 dated the 9th June 1899, cannot apply to the present case, for this is not a case in which the Court fee has become useless for the purpose intended by the purchaser. The ~~purchaser~~ purpose was the engrossment of the certificate ordered by this Court on the Court fee paper and that purpose was fulfilled by the engrossment of the certificate on that paper and by its delivery to the party concerned, viz, Krishnavehiammal, the petitioner. The case is therefore one in which the certificate granted by this Court and not the Court fee paper has become useless. The only authority that I can find on the subject of refund of the value of Court fee Stamps is where Excess Stamps are put in by mistake in matters of administration. Vide Notification of the Government of India No 2025 dated 17th September 1872, which says that such

Excess.

Page 782 of the Gazette of India Part I

Excess Stamps may be refunded. In the first place it is very doubtful whether this notification is in force in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore. In the second place, assuming that it is in force, it is a question whether it will apply to cases falling under the Succession Certificate Act VII of 1889. In the third place it may be doubted whether the case of the petitioner is ~~a~~ this one of Excess payment of Stamps, made by mistake. It appears rather to be a case in which Stamps were correctly put in and no mistake was made.

2. The records of this case No 225 of 1903 and of the connected case No 263 of 1903 are sent herewith for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

District Judge.

4

R. P. B.—14-3-1904.—500.

~~Civil and Military Station.~~
~~Coorg~~

Application in No. 260 of 1904
R. O. file ——

Petitioner
~~Appellant.~~ - *Respondent.*

Krishnaveniammah Vs.

(by Mr. J. C. S. Lawrance (by Mr.
Advocate

Judge's Notes.

Dated 20th August 1904.

Advocate for Appellant. } Mr. J. C. S. Lawrance.

(Notice has already issued & all formalities been complied with in the Lower Court).

The hearing of the application for the grant of a certificate under section 17 is postponed sine die, it appearing to the Court that action cannot be taken while the previous grant is in force. The Court ~~of the~~ Dist. Judge has power to revoke its certificate.

Advocate for Respondent.

A. J. P. Tucker

Aug 20
1904

P. T. O

Resumed proceedings.

Mr. Lawrance for applicant.

Read order of the District Judge dated 6th Oct. 1906, certifying the certificate granted by that Court to the petitioner.

Mr. Lawrance. Prays that the certificate applied for may now be granted by this Court.

Order.

The certificate applied for may now be issued under section 17; a bond with one surety and 1600 asst. in the amount of Rs [redacted] being taken from applicant. The surety will be approved by the District Judge

A.P.T. Acting
Dec 14 1906. Offg Resident

No. 1694

THE DISTRICT COURT,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
Bangalore, 21st September 1904

FROM Rao Bahadur P. S. Krishna Rao Esq., B.A.B.L.,
~~THE~~ DISTRICT JUDGE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To
R. C. H. Moss King Esquire I.C.S.,
First Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE, BANGALORE.
Register No. 4617
Date of Receipt 22-9-04
File No. 260/1904

Rec'd on 26/9/04

SIR,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to cause to be returned the record of the proceedings in Miscellaneous Case No. 225 of 1903 on the file of this Court, forwarded with this office letter No. 1222 dated 29th July 1904, as the petitioner therein, viz, Krishnaveniammal, has applied to this Court for the cancellation of the certificate issued to her by this Court.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

P. S. Krishna Rao
District Judge.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE, BANGALORE.
Register No. 4899
Date of Receipt 6-10-1904
File No. 2025/50
1904

No. 1807 Encs 2 file & records

THE DISTRICT COURT,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
Bangalore, 5th October 1904

FROM Rao Bahadur P. S. Krishna Rao Esqr BA. BL.,
THE DISTRICT JUDGE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To

R. C. H. Moss King Esqr. I.C.S.
First Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith the records in Mis. Application No 225 of 1903, and in the connected Case No 263 of 1903 on the file of this Court received with your letter No 4843 dated 28th September 1904.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

District Judge.

to the amount of Rs 10,000/- being taken from applicant. The surety will be approved by the District Judge -

(Signed) A.L.P. Tucker
Offg Resident -

No. 5483.
Enc 2 files of records

The Resident's Office
Dated Bangalore 2nd November 1904

A copy of the foregoing is forwarded to the District Judge, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, with a request that the applicant may be called on to enter into a bond, in the usual form, with one surety - The bond, when executed, should be forwarded to this office -

2. The records in Miss: applications No. 225, and 263 of 1903 on the file

of his Court, received with his letter
No 1809 dated the 5th October 1904, &c
are herewith returned.

First Asst: Resident

29.10.04

260/04
Sup 02 Sl/10
1904

19.12.04

IN THE ~~COURT~~ Office OF THE HON'BLE THE BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

MIS. Application. under section 17 of Act 7 of 1889. in the Hon'ble the British Resident's office File No 260 of 1904.

In the Court of the District Judge Civil and Military Station of Bangalore No 225 of 1903.

In the matter of the Estate of the late Rama Chendra Row deceased

Petitioner-------- Krishna Vainamah his widow.

The petitioner begs to submit the names of the following gentlemen as her sureties in this matter and prays that ~~they~~ may be accepted as such and that she may be granted the succession certificate which she has asked for.

1. R.Venkata Subba Pandit residing in the Fort of Bangalore and Inamdar of Vailambotti Pallipatti and Karapumbatti villiage of Darmapuri Taluk Salem District.

Bangalore the 19th day of December 1904.

Advocate for the applicant.

R. P. B.—9-04—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No. 11
File No.

No. 6552 dated 26.12.04
Encls: 1

To

The Dewan of
Mysore

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

From

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that in the application of one Krishnavenamma, the late widow of K. Ramachendra Rao, retired Sub Division Officer in the Mysore State Service, deceased, for a Succession certificate under Section 17 of Act VII of 1889 in respect to debts amounting to Rs. 16300 due to the Estate of the said deceased from the Commercial Bank of India (Ld) the Hon'ble the Resident has ordered the grant of the Certificate on the petitioner furnishing a bond with one approved Surety to the amount of Rs 16000/

(Continuation of Draft).

2. The petitioner has named one A. Venkata Subba Pandit residing in the Fort of Bangalore as her Surety. If this individual is found by the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore to be good and sufficient Surety for the said sum of Rs 16,000/- I am to request that that officer may be asked to cause the Security bond to be executed on a British India General Stamp paper of the Value of Rs 5/- in the accompanying form, and that the same may be ~~Cancelled~~ to be forwarded to this office when ready.

I have &c

(Signed) R. de. King

1st Asst. Resident

21-12-04 M 22/12

(~~Continuation of~~ Draft). 11

A

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

Application in file No 260 of 1904 for a Certificate under Section 7 of Act VII of 1889

In the matter of the debts amounting to Rs 16300/- due to the Estate of K. Ramachendra Rau (deceased) from the Commercial Bank of India (Ld) -&c.

Petitioner:—
Krishnavenamma widow of the said K. Ramachendra Rau (deceased) and guardian of the two minor sons of the said K. Ramachendra Rau by names:—
(1) K. Seetharama Rau
(2) K. Sreenivasa Rau residing in the City of Bangalore.

Security . . . . . .

. . . . . .

. . . . . .

Know all men by these presents that we Krishna Vemanna, petitioner, and

. . . . .) appointed surety)

residing at . . . . . .

. . . . . surety are jointly and severally bound to the Honble the Resident in Mysore, at Bangalore in the sum of Rs 16,000/- to be paid to the said Honble the Resident in Mysore for which payment to be made we bind ourselves and Each of us, in the whole, our, and each of

ors, heirs, executors and administrators jointly and severally -

Dated this day of 190..

Now the condition of the above written bond is such that if the said Krishnavenamma the grantee of the Certificate shall, within one year from the grant to her of a Certificate under the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, exhibit in the office of the said Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore a full and true account of all monies received by her on account of the debts due to the estate of her late husband K. Ramachendra Rao, from the Commercial Bank of India (Ld) and from the Tinnevelly Saranga pany Sugar Mills Co. Ld amounting to Rs 16.. 0 and shall deliver and pay the

the same unto such person or persons lawfully entitled thereto, then the above bond shall be void and of no effect otherwise it shall remain in full force -

(Signature) _____

Witnesses

22/12/[illegible]

19

PROCEEDINGS OF THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

No. 745 Dated, Bangalore, 8th February 1905.

Read:-

Petition from Krishnavenamma dated 19th December 1904, submitting the name of one R.Venkata Subba Pandith as her Surety in re the succession certificate to be granted to her.

S/10

Letter Pol No. 629, dated 26th January 1905, from the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Department.

S/12

O R D E R.

The petitioner is informed that the surety named in her petition quoted above, is reported to be net worth the amount ₹16,000/- ~~time~~ for which he should stand as a security.

2. Petitioner should name another fit person as her surety by for approval the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore.

By Order,

(Sd) R. M. King

First Assistant Resident.

Krishnavenamma, widow of the late K.Ramachendra Rao, retired, Sub Division Officer, Mysore State Service (through Mr. J.C.S.Lawrance, Advocate.)

#114

27-4-05

IN THE ~~COURT~~ Office of THE HONBLE the BRITISH RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

Mis. Application under Section 17 of Act VII of 1889 in the Honble the British Resident's office at Bangalore.

Office File No 260 of 1904.

In THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE OF THE CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION OF BANGALORE.

Mis. No 225 of 1903.

In the matter of the estate of the late Rama Chendra Row.

Petitioner----------Krishna Vainamah his widow.

The Petitioner begs to submit the name of Mr Henry Abraham of No 1 South Parade Bangalore Merchant etc etc who is possessed of movable and immovable property to a large extent as her Surety in this matter and she prays that he may be accepted as such and that she may be granted the Succession Certificate which she has been praying for for the past two years and owing to the absence of which she has been put to much pecuniary loss and great inconvenience as the Bank will not advance her any sum of money for the maintenance of herself and her two sons unless she produces the said certificate.

Bangalore the 25th day of April 1905.

Advocate for the Petitioner.

Form of security bond under section 9 (1) of Act VII of 1889.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

Application in file No. 260 of 1904 for a certificate under section 17 of Act VII of 1889.

In the matter of the estate of K. Ramachendra Rao, a retired Sub-division Officer of the Mysore State, late of Bangalore but now deceased,

Petitioner. Krishnavenamma, widow of the said K. Ramachendra Rao (deceased) and guardian of her 2 minor sons ~~by~~ named (1) K. Seetharama Rao and (2) K. Srenivasa Rao - residents in the city of Bangalore.

~~*Surety.*~~ Surety - Mr. Henry Abraham -

Know all men by these presents that we Krishnavenamma, petitioner and Henry Abraham, Merchant, Etc. Etc. ~~residing at~~ of No 1 South Parade, Bangalore, surety, are jointly and severally bound to the British Resident in Mysore for the time being, in the sum of Rs (16,000) Sixteen thousand ~~and three hundred~~ only to be paid to the said British Resident in Mysore, for which payment to be made we bind ourselves and each of us, in the whole, our, and each of our heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly and severally.

Dated this day of 190 .

Now the condition of the above written bond is such that if the said Krishnavenamma ——— the grantee of the certificate shall within one year from the grant to her of a certificate under the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, exhibit in the office of the said British Resident in Mysore, a full and true account of all moneys received by her on account of the debts due to the estate of her late husband K. Ramachendra Rao from the Commercial Bank of India (Ld) and from the Tiruvalla Sugar Company Sugar Mill Company (Ld) amounting to Rs ~~16,300~~ 16,300/- and shall deliver and pay the same unto such person or persons as shall be lawfully entitled thereto, then the above bond shall be void and of no effect, otherwise, it shall remain in full force.

Witnesses,

1 Signature.

2 Signature.

29.4.05

R. P. B.—2-05.—100.

R. P. B.—6-1905.—500

No. 4234 Encae

17

From

R. C. H. MOSS KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT to the RESIDENT in MYSORE.

To

P.L.Moore Esquire, I.C.S.,
Collector, Civil and Military Station,
Bangalore.

Dated Bangalore, 31st July 1905.

Sir,

In returning the security bond for Rs.16,000/- received with your letter No.1716, dated the 18th July 1905, I am directed to request that the Officer in whose presence the bond was executed or before whom the execution thereof was admitted by the parties may be directed to make an endorsement to that effect on the bond and to return the same to this office.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

sd/ R.M. King.
First Assistant Resident.

M. HE 28.7.05

12

18

R. P. B.—4-05—500.

No. 1850/Esc 1 Bond

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION, BANGALORE.
Dated 4th August 1905.

FROM
4153—
57805—
2600
1904
7/8/05

P. L. Moore, ESQ., I. C. S.,
COLLECTOR,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

To R. L. N. Moss King, Esquire, I. C. S.,
~~THE~~ FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE HONOURABLE THE RESIDENT
IN MYSORE.

SIR,

S. No. 17.

I have the honor to retransmit herewith the security bond for Rs 16,000/— returned with your letter No: 4234 dated 31st July 1905 the Execution thereof by the parties concerned having been duly attested by a Special Magistrate of the Station

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

P. L. Moore
Collector.

43

5 Rs.



BEFORE THE HONBLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE

AT BANGALORE.

Application in file No 260 of 1904 for a certificate under section 17 of Act VII of 1889.

In the matter of the estate of K.Ramachendra Row a retired Sub Division Officer of the Mysore State late of Bangalore.

Petitioner-----Krishnavenamma widow of the said K.Ramachendra Row (deceased) and guardian of his minor sons named,

(1) K.Seetharama Row and

(2) K.Sreenivasa Row residing in the City of Bangalore.

Surety---------Mr Henry Abraham.

Know all men by these presents that we Krishnavenamma and Henry Abraham merchant etc etc of No 1 South Parade Bangalore, surety are jointly and severally bound to the British Resident in Mysore for the time being, in the sum of Rs(16,000/-) Sixteen thousand only to be paid to the said British Resident in Mysore for which payment to be made we bind ourselves and each of us, in the whole, our, and each of our heirs, executors and administrators jointly and severally.

Dated this 15th day of July 1905.

Now the condition of the above written bond is such that if the said Krishnavenamma the grantee of the certificate shall within one year from the grant to her of a certificate

Sold to Messrs Abraham & Co

THE RESIDENT'S TREASURY, BANGALORE
No.
27 JUN 1905

B Venkataramanayya
Treasurer

Received
29 JUL 1905

2.

Certificate
under the Succession Act, 1889, exhibit in the office of the said British Resident in Mysore a full and true account of all moneys received by her on account of the debts due to the estate of her late husband K. Ramachendra Row from the Commercial Bank of India Ltd and from the Tinnevelly Sarangapany Sugar Mill Company Ltd amounting to Rs 16,300/- and shall deliver and pay the same unto such person or persons as shall be lawfully entitled thereto, then the above bond shall be void and of no effect otherwise, it shall remain in full force.

Witnesses,

1. Whitty Lawrence
Advocate
Signature

2. B. W. Lawrance
Bar-at-Law
Signature.)

Execution admitted and signed before me this 2nd day of Augt 1905.

M Ramabhadra
Special Magistrate, C & M. Station
Bangalore

COURT OF THE BENCH OF MAGISTRATES
BANGALORE
CIVIL & MILITARY STATION

N. P. B.—5-05.—1,000.

(Continuation of Draft).

Securities

Serial Number.	Description			Market Value of Security on date of application for certificate.
	Distinguishing number or letter of Security.	Name, title or class of Security.	Amount of par Value of Security.	
1	Nos 1220		~~£~~	~~£~~ A. P.
	1221-1222		300	150 —
2	4361-4362			
	4363-4364			
	4365-4366		1,000	500 —
	4367-4368			
	4369-4370			
3	4996-4997			
	4998-4999			
	5000		500	250 —
4	1075-1076	One hundred and sixty shares in the Capital Stock of the Commercial Bank of India (Limited)	200	100 —
5	2876		100	50 —
6	1631-1632			
	1633-1634			
	1635		500	250 —
7	3263-3264			
	3265-3266		400	200 —
8	2315-2316			
	2317-2318			
	2319-2320		1,000	500 —
	2321-2322			
	2323-2324			
9	1168-1169			
	1170-1171		500	250 —
	1172 —			
10	1173-1174			
	1175-1176		500	250 —
	1177 —			
11	719-720			
	721-722		500	250 —
	723 —			
12	3051-3052			
	3053-3054		500	250 —
	3055 —			
		Carried over		3,000 —

			A. P.
			3000
	Brought forward		~~3000~~
13	12571 - 12572		
	12573 - 12574		
	12575 - 12576		
	12577 - 12578		
	12,579 - 12580		
	12,581 - 12582		
	12,583 - 12584		
	12,585 - 12586		
	12587 - 12588		
	12589 - 12590		
	12591 - 12592		
	12593 - 12594		
	12595 - 12596		
	12597 - 12598		
	12599 - 12600		
	12601 - 12602		
	12603 - 12604		
	12605 - 12606		
	12607 - 12608		
	12609 - 12610		
	12611 - 12612		
	12613 - 12614		
	12615 - 12616		
	12617 - 12618		
	12619 - 12620		
	12621 - 12622		
	12623 - 12624		
	12,625 - 12626		
	12,627 - 12628		
	12,629 - 12630	6,000	3000
	Carried over		6000

4

4.6
28/7/05

20

No. 1687

THE DISTRICT COURT,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
Bangalore, 23rd September 1905

FROM Rao Bahadur P.S. Krishna Rao Esq. BA, BL
~~THE~~ DISTRICT JUDGE,
CIVIL AND MILITARY STATION,
BANGALORE.

Rejected 26/9/05 5288
Date of Receipt 25/9/05
Case No. 260/04

To

R. C. H. Moss. King Esq. I.C.S.,
First Assistant to the
Resident in Mysore

SIR,

With reference to the correspondence ending with this office letter No 2216, dated the 5th December 1904, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to cause to be returned, the records of the marginally noted cases on the file of this Court.

2 The said records were sent in connection with an application in file No. 260 of 1904 ~~on the file~~ of the Office of the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

P Krishna Rao
District Judge

* Miscellaneous Case No 2225 of 1903
2633 of 1903

R. P. B.—3-05—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Serial No.

File No.

[DRAFT.]—For approval.

From

R. C. H. MOSS. KING, Esq., I. C. S.,
1st Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

No 5628 Encl ptrs Received
2/30th September 05

To
The District Judge,
Civil and Military
Station, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1687, dated the 23d September 1905, I am directed to return, as requested, the records in Miscellaneous cases Nos. 225 and 263 of 1903 on the file of your Court.

I have &c.

Sd/ R. H. King.
1st Asst Resident

26.9.05 M 2649

S 20

30/9/05